

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| | | | PJs in State: | 93 | | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.20 % | 96.49 % | 59 | 96.48 % | 32 | 32 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 93.51 % | 88.28 % | 16 | 89.00 % | 70 | 71 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 2.01 | 5.83 | 70 | 4.81 | 33 | 26 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 80.77 % | 77.63 % | 53 | 83.25 % | 17 | 22 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 100.00 % | 70.30 % | 1 | 70.99 % | 99 | 100 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 96.32 % | 81.96 % | 14 | 81.75 % | 83 | 88 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 82.35 % | 41.30 % | 6 | 45.84 % | 93 | 95 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 96.50 % | 1 | 96.12 % | 100 | 100 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 4 / 93 | Nationally: | 89 | 91 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$37,911 | \$36,975 | | \$27,889 | 136 Units | 91.90 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$0 | \$21,536 | | \$15,632 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$121,526 | \$27,502 | | \$21,037 | 2 Units | 1.40 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$5,000 | \$2,232 | | \$3,206 | 10 Units | 6.80 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***. This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): San Mateo CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$56,751 | \$0 | \$306,250 |
| State:* | \$141,290 | \$116,319 | \$28,818 |
| National:** | \$99,461 | \$78,568 | \$23,907 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.23

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| White: | 49.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|---|
| Hispanic | 17.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|----------|--|---|---|---|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--|---|---|---|
| 1 Person: | 65.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 Persons: | 16.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 16.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Elderly: | 55.1 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other: | 12.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|--|--|
| Section 8: | 11.0 | 0.0 [#] |
| HOME TBRA: | 5.1 | |
| Other: | 13.2 | |
| No Assistance: | 70.6 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 35

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): San Mateo State: CA Group Rank: 89
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 4 / 93 PJs Overall Rank: 91
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 77.87% | 80.77 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 53.90% | 100 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 96.32 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.09% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.415 | 0.93 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

